

May 3, 2013 (#1323)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt May 3, 2013:

The New Gods to Discard Genes and Select To Perfect That which Was Left Imperfect:

"The Global Agenda's PR Going Well,
Scientists Chomping at Bit, Easy to Tell,
By Pompous Announcements They Train
Us to Believe They Should Control the Brain,
The Term Eugenics is a Nasty Relic
They Ditched in Favour of Bioethics,
They're On Board with Governments, Deciding
Who Should or Not Breed 'mongst Law Abiding,
Claiming that Some Children, Given Time,
Are Predisposed to Committing Crime,
To Breed or Not to Breed, That's the Question,
Modern Gene Tinkerers to Shape Man's Direction"

© Alan Watt May 3, 2013

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - May 3, 2013 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is **Cutting Through The Matrix** on May 3,

2013. For those who are new to the broadcasts I advise you to make good use of the website cuttingthroughthematrix.com, a large archive section is there for you. I go through the history of the system we're living through, how it originated, the people who... the foundations, really, that set it all up, private foundations. Foundations that finance most of the NGOs, non-governmental organizations across the world, which are pressure groups really, which lobby government all the time for particular changes, which the very rich guys who own the foundations want to happen. Because we're living through a private system, a privatized system of the world as you see governments have been farming out so many different departments to private industry for a long time; they're called public/private partnerships. The whole idea, set up by the group over 100 years ago, was to bring in a world where private enterprise runs the world, international corporations. They have their own historians, their own archives of history. They've been behind a lot of the changes, including the wars that have happened over the last hundred-odd years. They need these wars, they say, to take over the resources of the world, to standardize into one system. And they leave the same system behind them wherever they happen to go, a private centralized bank and the same kind of government, that the people think they elect, with the members at the top always being members of the private organizations, that's the international private organization that runs the world, the Royal Institute of International Affairs and Council on Foreign Relations. So go into the history of it, see where it's going, see how it works, because it's all encompassing. It's all around you. It directs our lives. It will direct your children's lives, if you have any children at all. And it's directing the global society right now, has been for a long time. And they bypass most people. Most folk, in fact, are talking in the past; the things that they are scared of happening have already happened, and they haven't really seen the full impacts of it yet.

Remember too, that you are the audience that bring me to you, so you can help me tick along by getting the books and disks at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. I go through the art of conology. Conology is an ancient art. We're conned all the time by mainstream media, and by those who own the mainstream media. People forget that they are actually owned by private interests that, again, belong to these international clubs and agencies. They decide what kind of news we're going to get, if any at all. If you notice too, there's been a sudden change over the last month or so, in the trivia that even the main stream is turning out. They are all like tabloids now churning out trivia like crazy. That keeps you distracted, lots of sex in it and stuff like that, lots of gossipy stories, but it doesn't tell you what's really happening in the world. So you have to dig deeper. And it's going to get worse, by the way, as they want to dumb us all down, as we go through the great transition, as they call this phase of the world.

Remember too, to buy the books and disks [Order and donation options listed above.]. And straight donations really are seriously welcome as we go through this inflationary time as they devalue the currency, and you can purchase less and less with it all the time. But once again, people really don't understand that it's like a meteorite passing you, over your head. First you see the thing, and then you have a rush of wind afterwards. The rush of wind is the reality catching up of all of its effects. We get glimpses of the changes that are about to happen, often we're told, and often in vague terms, what's to happen in the future, by futuristic think tanks and so on. But in reality, by the time they tell us that is, all the machinery to get it all into action, all the legislation, all the bureaucratic Sherpa visits across

the world between bureaucrats, has already happened; it's all drafted up and being implemented. And by the time you notice it, it's already in place. In other words, we don't have much of a chance to change the direction of society. We're all completely, utterly global, done by international private corporations. Back with more after this.

Hi folks, I'm back. We're Cutting Through The Matrix and talking about the big system the big world and how really we're just entertained to death. We're also misled an awful lot by the media, that gives us more and more trivia all the time, little personal stories about people, that really, it might be tragic and might be sad or whatever, but it doesn't really affect the world in general, does it? And yet these are mainstream stories, as more and more stories are becoming mainstream; it really should just be local news and the different areas. Because the change has happened in the media.

You've got understand these big conglomerates, that work with each other, all the media companies, to decide policy - they actually have policies - and they work alongside the government too, to decide what kind of news we're going to get, if any at all; real news, that is. The idea too is to keep you in the dark about the big, big changes that are happening in the world. Even the big things that you can notice, they can keep quiet, like wars they have going on and the ones planned and so on. They have to mislead you with the reasons for them, etc., because you must always get the public support behind any war that you're going to start. So you always paint them, your enemy, as really evil, subhuman entities before you do so. And it works every time, very old strategy. I might even put up an old link to John Pilger talking about war. He goes through some of the strategies of the media over the last 100 years which they've used on the publics to get it all happening.

The War You Don't See - Why We Must Challenge The Official Story - [youtube.com](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=...)

But again, most folk don't really care today. Most folk are really out of the picture, and certain age groups have been hammered more so with indoctrination than other ones, to get the new politically correct attitudes to live, sort of hedonistic, narcissistic, live-for-yourself, don't look outside your little own shell and be quite happy with everything you've got. That way you're not bonded to society, or even the community, so you don't really care what happens, even to the next community, as long as your ones are left alone. But these are the systems that are designed by big private foundations, which means thousands of think tanks. For every aspect of society, any problem that they have to do with cultural changes, which must always be done, and brainwashing the culture, preparing them, but it's really brainwashing the culture for the next big changes, they've got to get them young. Get them very young and indoctrinate them, indoctrinate them, and it's a very perfected system today.

But again too, it was also the rise of, and this was brought out when the big socialist system came out a long time ago, along with the communists - they were one and the same thing at the same time - with the Fabian society as a branch that would run the left-wing of the big bankers projects, because they run the right wing too, through the Royal Institute of International Affairs. Remember, their members actually were members of both organizations, by the way, for those who don't know that. But anyway, they wanted to bring in a society where science would rule. Sometimes science is used as a proxy, or as an excuse or a front to

rule you, because if you say, something is *scientifically proven* it gives more validity to any particular agenda than simply straightforward fact, empirical fact, and folk don't question it very much at all. But they wanted to go into eugenics, big time, and start to decide on a plan for the future, where people would be able to have children, or not able to have children, according to big panels that would judge you all according to your DNA and so on and so on, and your IQ level, your family history of certain diseases, etc. But it's all coming to pass.

And they always put out movies long in advance to get you ready for it, because we're programmed mainly through movies, because our firewalls are down when we watch a movie. We think we're being entertained, you don't realize you're being programmed; you have no defense up, you see. But **Minority Report** was one movie to do with precrime. Here's an article here...

Jailed, because you might commit crime

scotsman.com / LORI ANDERSON / 03/05/2013

LORI Anderson is intrigued by the idea of a future in which those genetically inclined towards violent offending are taken off our streets.

"There's a killer on the road, his brain is squirming like a toad." So sang the snake-hipped, leather-clad rocker Jim Morrison in Riders on the Storm and so writes, in his own more measured manner, Professor Adrian Raine in his chilling new book, The Anatomy of Violence: The Biological Roots of Crime, my cozy, night-time reading this week, nightmares guaranteed. (Alan: So this is how they do it. Neuroscience is trying to bring itself up past even the behaviorists, the psychologists and the psychiatrists, and say We've got the answer now, you see. They're always competing with each other. But any new science must come in and get lots of publicity and obviously they're getting lots of cash to get the publicity to make a name for themselves, so that they can get all of their belief systems put across as fact, into the court systems. They already have done that in the US where they've got murderers off, saying, well the guy couldn't help it, he was a psychopath, he's precondition to do this kind of stuff. This has already happened, folks. It's all based on the old Pavlovian system, and the Marxist system, that man is just an animal who could be retrained, retrained into anything you want him to be, including those who are rapists and murderers. Anyway it says here:)

The idea that there is a biological component to crime, that some people are more predisposed than others to end up as violent offenders, has finally gained traction over the past 20 years (A: Now, that's a good distinction, you see. They're putting in the violent offenders, to do with the nonviolent offenders. Now, the biggest offenders of crime in this century, that affect millions and billions of people, are the bankers, by the way. And that's called a nonviolent crime. And sometimes they call it non-victim, or a victimless crime. Which of course is nonsense because folk end up in the streets. How many died in tent cities and so on? But here they want to go into, again, the lower class type, you see, the ones that can't pay to get off with murder. And it says here...) finally gained traction over the past 20 years, ever since its low point when the National Institute of Health in America withdrew funding for a conference on genetics and crime after complaints of eugenics. Today, researchers say at least 100 peer-reviewed studies show genes do play a role

in crime, and, while there is no single “crime gene”, researchers are finding more and more genetic traits that, like links in a pair of handcuffs, connect our biology to criminal behaviour. (A: *So it's true enough, you see. Give you another 20 years of indoctrination, little science programs, and your back, reconditioned, ready to accept eugenics again. That's all it takes.*)

Studies show the sons and daughters of violent criminals who were adopted as babies into safe, non-criminal homes have been found to have greater likelihood of demonstrating antisocial behaviour than control groups. (A: *Now, they miss a whole bunch of stuff here to do with that too, because they found out that a lot of children that are adopted into homes, away from especially the mother, the genetic mother, will definitely show antisocial traits; it's a thing that actually happens. They don't bond the same way to strangers. It's even to do with smells and things of their mother, it's missing, it's absent. But they don't bother with that. They'll tell you a partial truth, you see, to sway the opinion of the article itself.*) Studies have also claimed that non-aggressive antisocial behaviour was 48 per cent heritable, whilst aggressive behaviour was 65 per cent heritable. The bleak answer to the age-old question of “nature versus nurture” is that, whilst both have a bearing, nature is by far the stronger of the two. As Prof Raine explains: “Dark genetic forces can overshadow the power of environment.” (A: *Now, that's eugenicist talking, so you've got understand where he's coming from; this is his belief system - don't forget that when you read something - and he's getting paid for this.*)

So what are the “mean genes” that appear to be linked to violence? In the Netherlands, Han Brunner studied four generations of males with a history of violence and impulsive aggression and discovered a single genetic trait, which was that they had little or no MAOA gene, whose enzymes metabolize several neurotransmitters involved in impulse control, attention and cognitive functioning. (A: *Now, I love when they do this kind of stuff because, literally, these are THEORIES that they have, that haven't been absolutely proven, and you could take many conclusions from their studies. But they tend to have an a priori, a goal, when they do the studies instead of leaving it to empirical evidence and try to find out where it's actually going from there.*)

In laboratory tests, when MAOA is knocked out from mice, they become ferociously aggressive. Scientists are also looking at four or five other genes that regulate serotonin, which, when raised, can fuel aggression, and dopamine, which acts as a biological brake on impulse control. (A: *See, it's all chemicals, you understand. You're nothing at all except a bunch of genes, all competing with each other, for survival. In fact, anything that comes out of your mouth is the end product of genes the probably started in your toenails and spread all the way up to your brain and your mouth. And I'm not kidding about that, because you should read some of these characters books, that all you are is the final product of all your competing genes inside of you. What a belief system this one is. Anyway, it's where they want to go with all this that makes the point, and that's when you get the point too.*)

The brain scans of murderers have revealed poor pre-frontal functioning (A: *That's about all they can say about that. And by the way, a lot of the psychiatrists and psychologists that got the MRI scans for prefrontal*

functioning were classified as psychopaths, but so far nonviolent. What does that tell you?), which can lead to loss of control over the more primitive part of the brain, the limbic system, which generates anger and rage. Among those tested was a murderer who had led a blameless life until he was beaten over the head with a metal bar and whose brain trauma was blamed by the defence counsel for making him more foolhardy, less risk-averse and eventually leading him into a life of crime, with fatal consequences. (A: Well, syphilis can do the same by the way, folks, because it attacks your brain. And any head injury can cause the same thing. And lots of folk who get in car smashes with prefrontal damage end up with personality changes. So that's not the same as hereditary disease, is it?)

When I was young, the future was going to be like Logan's Run, where women ran around a sybaritic pleasure palace in diaphanous Greek togas before being bumped off when they turned 30. Sadly, the future imagined in this new book involves no such silk raiments, but features instead the authoritarian presence of LOMBOSCO - Legal Offensive on Murder Brain research Operation for the Screening of Offenders.

By 2034, Prof Raine postulates that everyone over the age of 18 will be subjected to brain scans and genetic screening by government-sanctioned social scientists who will then factor in the subject's environment and use powerful algorithms to determine their risk factors for sexual assault and violence.

Those with an LP-V (LOMBOSCO positive-violence) of 79 per cent and those with an LP-S (sex) of 82 per cent - in other words, those with the highest propensity to murder or rape - are then taken into restrictive detention centres. . . (A: ...precrime, folks. Back with more after this.)

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt. We're Cutting Through The Matrix talking about precrime basically and how we're getting readied, by articles that are put out in the mainstream, getting us all ready to be screened. And youngsters listening to this, those who have children, they'll be reconditioned when the government says, *you got to bring them in for screening*. And it's already happening and some countries by the way. Because eugenics is to run the whole system of all the rest of us, you see; not the ones at the top, but the rest of us, all the people at the bottom. But it says here that this is how they would grade them. It's already decided how they'll grade them...

Those who score slightly lower in their LP tests - individuals not high enough to warrant detention but whose risk remains considerable - are placed on a police database and so become the prime suspects should a rape or murder occur in their vicinity. (A: *This is without giving any inclinations to do so, remember.*)

If successful, the author imagines a National Child Screening Programme to identify those pupils most likely to launch spree killings in the school cafeteria and who can then be taken into preventative custody and given the appropriate treatment.

The government would argue that, just as doctors screen bodies for cancer to

prevent deaths, so they are now screening the population for violence in order to prevent a similar loss of life.

How would we feel about such a future? Relieved that rape, murder and violent assaults plummet, or chilled that Big Brother, having first peered into our brain and body cells, has chosen to lock us up, not because we've committed a crime, but because a computer says the likelihood that we might be currently too high? (A: *Well, I hope they don't use the same computers as they do for global warming, the special computers that they've got built for it, because we all be in the slammer.*)

I don't doubt that some form of this prophetic future will come to pass.

...come to pass... It's actually passing in some countries; they've been doing this for a little while. There's an article here on Scotland. See, they used different countries for test beds for this kind of stuff. And many, many years ago when I went across the whole of Europe I noticed that different societies were using different experiments, of cultural change and more government intrusion, more peering into the lives of people, all big experiments but separated. Now they're using them, all the ones that were successful, and incorporating them together. So here's Scotland and it says...

Petitioning Members of the Scottish Parliament 2

change.org / Petition by: Schoolhouse Home Education Association

Members of the Scottish Parliament: Reject GIRFEC surveillance and named person for every child in Scotland.

The Children and Young People Bill which has recently been introduced to the Scottish Parliament seeks to establish a universal surveillance system in respect of every child and associated adult in Scotland. Details of the Bill as introduced may be viewed here: <http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/parliamentarybusiness/Bills/62233.aspx>. (A: *I'll put the link up tonight at cuttingthroughthematrix.com.*)

Known as GIRFEC (Getting It Right For Every Child), it is already being used, and in some cases abused, by professionals within universal services and other agencies who have been routinely gathering, storing, assessing and sharing sensitive personal data on every child and every associated adult (A: *...the parents too.*) without express informed consent and in the absence of any enabling statutory framework.

Disguised as a child protection measure but nothing of the kind, GIRFEC has spawned a series of 'wellbeing' indicators known as SHANARRI which represent a universal prescription for a state approved childhood. (A: *That's a STATE APPROVED CHILDHOOD.*) It has essentially shifted the threshold for intervention in family life on child 'protection' grounds from "at risk of significant harm" to "at risk of not meeting state dictated 'wellbeing' outcomes". Every parent in Scotland is now routinely assessed on his/her "parental capacity to provide wellbeing", based on government defined criteria which, according to its own 'National Risk Framework to Support the Assessment of Children and Young People'

(<http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2012/11/7143/9>) places every child under five, and most older children and young people, in the 'vulnerable' category (thus liable to 'early intervention'). (A: *This is precrime.*)

The Bill further seeks to impose a 'named person' on every child in Scotland (whose function, it is specifically stated, may not be undertaken by the child or young person's parent), which is a gross intrusion into family life and completely unwarranted on a universal basis. The fact that every child will be subject to this intrusion by a stranger without opt-out, regardless of his or her wishes (or those of his or her parents in the case of a young child) renders it a disproportionate measure in that most children have no need of state 'intervention', compulsory or otherwise, in their family lives.

Given that many parents in Scotland are already struggling to obtain services for their children with additional needs and are often being denied them on the grounds of cost, it is the petitioners' contention that such a 'gatekeeper', having been licensed by the government to interfere in children's private lives', will not only add an extra layer of wasteful bureaucracy but is highly likely to become an additional barrier to service access. The named person proposal offers significant scope for children's rights, parenting choices and family decisions to be undermined and/or overruled by an outsider with boxes to tick, whose views may not accord with those of the 'named clients' who have no 'choose to refuse' opt-out. This will have (and is already having) a deterrent effect on families' willingness to engage with 'services' whose primary aim is now recognized as being to record clients' personal details and 'life events' to determine their 'wellbeing' (A: *They call it wellbeing, gross well-being...*) and pass them on to other complete strangers for possible intervention. (A: *So every child in Scotland too, is to be tested psychologically, before they are even one-year-old. No kidding. It's no kidding, folks. This is what the eugenicists said before World War II, for the British Psychiatric Association, and again, the World Psychiatric Association, run by Freud's daughter, to get in to, taking over from the parents and deciding who should be locked up basically or treated, by law, forced law, and force treated, and who should not and so on. This is where they're going with it all. And remember, this well-being, this whole well-being thing is a new idea that was put out by, guess who? The world's economists. As long as you're happy being poor and half-starved, you're safe. Back with more after this.*)

Children and Young People (Scotland) Bill - scottish.parliament.uk

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix and talking about precrime basically and how we're all to be tested, all children. Some of them across the world are already being tested for violence, before they do anything, and getting psychiatric treatment. Because psychiatry, and eventually neuroscience, the big one, is to be involved in shaping the future and shaping all of our lives and so on, personally and otherwise, and collectively. It's on the go. It's underway. This was demanded a long time ago by the psychiatric associations. That's why they *created* psychiatry in the first place. A lot of it was nonsense anyway, psychiatry. It can describe certain illnesses, for categorization purposes, but that's about as far as they can go, apart from just doping folk up. There was much more behind psychiatry and you've got understand the history of Freud and his viewpoints and everything too.

This article here is to do with, getting back to the big international organizations, the private organizations that get all the bigwigs together, so many of them, IBM, all the rest of them, on the go right now. There's many of them having meetings, all set up right now, and they're advertising 'like spring'; I guess they'll come out in the spring and plants all of our futures. You'll find that the Milken Institute is one of them. I'll put up a couple of links tonight on the Milken Institute, very important people belong to it. I'll put their website up. Big corporations, lots of them belong to them, and well-known names belong to it as well. But one of their articles here, I noticed too, for one of their meetings, is to do with...

Immigration Strategy for the Borderless Economy

milkeninstitute.org

(A: Now, I've talked about this for a long time because the Royal Institute of International Affairs, that set up 100 years ago, this system we're now into today, for free trade and the free flow of goods AND labor across borders, is all coming into fruition, and bypassing the general public who are living in the past. It's been happening for quite some time.)

Few issues have been more contentious in the United States, and for a longer time, than immigration. Comprehensive reform, however, appears to be getting close. On one hand, the nation's sizable Latino population is making its presence felt in the economy and the voting booth. Neither party can afford to enter the next election cycle without addressing immigration. On the other, foreigners fill crucial gaps in human capital. *(A: And that's what we are now. The globalist call you, as a worker, whatever you happen to be, your capacity to work, you're 'human capital,' for them.)* The corporate sector wants wider access to the high-skilled global workforce. Moreover, universities are training scientists and engineers who are forced to leave the country because of their immigration status. Our panel of leaders will discuss how policy must evolve *(A: So they're going to change all the laws.)* to serve the country and the issue's many stakeholders. Is citizenship realistic for the millions of undocumented immigrants who have built lives here? How do we open doors to talent rather than encourage its exodus? Does immigration affect incomes?

But they go through everything in these global conferences. As I say, this particular Institute is very important, when you look at all the different members that they have belonging to it. It's just astonishing. It's only one of many on the go right now.

Building a Global Community to Drive Social Change - milkeninstitute.org

And also, I've mentioned before how we've been brought down, brought down, off the pedestal of human beings being the supreme being on the planet basically. As Julian Huxley said, we were to be dethroned and brought down, so as we'd accept massive abortion, even infanticide, for population control purposes. Believe you me, these big top guys, again, eugenicists all of them, he helped fund Planned Parenthood, he worked at UNICEF at the United Nations. The whole idea was to have a planned population for the future. They don't want too many of the lower classes, in a situation where they don't need them anymore, especially since they

knew a long time ago they would build up China too be the manufacturer for the world; it didn't happen by itself. This article here talks about how bad it is. And we accept it all, mind you. I'm talking about the Gosnell abortionists recently and his house of horrors, they called it, with the mess. He was killing children, who were born alive and then killing them, and again, he's got so much backing from Planned Parenthood putting pressure on government to cover it all up. There's another one here and it says...

Abortion "Doctor" Leaves Decapitated Unborn Baby Inside Mother

lifenews.com / Steven Ertelt / 5/2/13

Robert Alexander's abortion clinic was shut down in December by the city of Muskegon, Michigan after unsafe and unsanitary conditions were found. Now, state officials are wondering why the state medical board has not terminated Alexander's medical license.

The state legislature had planned to hold a hearing on Tuesday on Alexander and a Muskegon OB/GYN was expected to testify at the hearing. Instead, the doctor wrote that Alexander should have his license revoked.

The doctor talked about one case in particular as a reason why, saying Alexander botched an abortion on a woman in her second trimester.

"Dr. Alexander perforated the woman's uterus so badly that it was hanging on by two blood vessels," he wrote. "The decapitated head of a fetus was in the woman's abdomen and the large intestine had been grasped and pulled away from its blood supply and into the vagina. The woman required a hysterectomy, colonoscopy and several units of blood to save her life. "I for one, was very happy to hear he is no longer practicing in Muskegon, but I fear for women anywhere this man would go." (*A: He might go to another state, you see.*)

Now, this is the sort of stuff... that doesn't bother anybody anymore, I think, because we don't get horrified by these things. We're desensitized to it, by years of very, very violent and realistic television and movies. We've been taught, subconsciously, by lots and lots of especially wildlife broadcasters and so on, that life is just like that in nature, you know, animals live and die, and we're just another animal. And folk have been getting taught that at school too. It's like, so what, we're not an endangered species, so no one really cares. It doesn't happen to me, i'm okay, Jack. Again, that's the narcissistic attitude, that leaves you wide open to be the next one, the next victim. But I'll put that up tonight too.

And also, the other side of abortion too, a pro-life activist group that uses hidden cameras.

Abortion clinic video makers call for government investigation

washingtontimes.com / Cheryl Wetzstein / May 1, 2013

A pro-life activist group that uses hidden cameras to go undercover in

abortion clinics called Wednesday for a government investigation into the practice of letting “born-alive” children die.

“We believe in human rights for everyone — human rights for the child in the womb, the child outside the womb, and true protection and medical care for women. Not the brutality that goes on during these procedures,” Lila Rose, president of Live Action.

“When I hear things like, ‘flush it down the toilet’ or ‘leave it to die,’ it horrifies me,” (A: *And it should horrify us, but it doesn’t anymore.*) Melissa Ohden, who as a newborn survived a saline-infusion abortion 35 years ago, told the crowd of pro-life activists and onlookers.

Noting that she personally knows 130 survivors of abortion, Ms. Ohden said: “I very well could have been one of Dr. Gosnell’s prize possessions in his house of horrors,” (A: *She’d be stuck in a jar, you see.*) but “the medical professionals who found me after my biological mother’s failed abortion provided me with medical care that sustained my life.”

So I’ll put that up tonight too. I’m sure most folk really, honestly don’t care. That’s my opinion of humanity today, because I’ve heard enough people talking about things, and they’re so far gone. Everybody’s a sitting duck for terrible horror to come down the pike; you’ve been trained for it. I’m not kidding about that. And...

Melbourne doctor's abortion stance may be punished

(A: *...by the medical association in Australia.*)

adelaidenow.com.au / Peter Rolfe / April 28, 2013

A MELBOURNE doctor who refused to refer a couple for an abortion because they wanted only a boy has admitted he could face tough sanctions.

Dr Mark Hobart, who stood as a candidate for the Democratic Labor Party, which is anti-abortion, at a by-election for the Victorian state seat of Broadmeadows in 2011 and remains a member of the party, fears he could be punished for refusing to give the Melbourne couple a referral after discovering they were seeking an abortion because they didn't want to have a girl.

Obstetricians have proposed parents be banned from knowing the sex of unborn babies until it is too late to terminate, to prevent gender-based abortions.

It’s just amazing what everything gets into. First it’s just abortion period. Now it’s gender-based and late. You understand how everything is interrelated, including all of the special agendas you get now and everything else? And, *oh that’s prejudiced against this*, and it goes against the other one. So everything gets MAD, folks. But of course there’s method to the madness, because big government always gets pals up to deal with the madness; it’s very lucrative too. Order out of chaos is very, very lucrative, especially when you’re in charge of creating the chaos and offering the solutions.

Now as we go on and on and on of course, and nothing surprises me at all, and I’m

not worried about things because there's no point in worrying about things that you can't do anything about. And you'll find that most things that are happening, that will really affect everyone, and *do* affect everyone, it's outside of your control anyway. You don't even know who to contact half the time, even if it's a suggestion to make for any kind of change. Because it's done at such a high global level of private interests and private clubs, organizations and corporations, along with governments, in bed with the corporations. But it says...

'Appalling irresponsibility': Senior scientists attack Chinese researchers for creating new strains of influenza virus in veterinary laboratory

independent.co.uk / Steve Connor / 02 May 2013

Senior scientists have criticized the “appalling irresponsibility” of researchers in China who have deliberately created new strains of influenza virus in a veterinary laboratory.

They warned there is a danger that the new viral strains created by mixing bird-flu virus (*A: Which is as you know, not very transmissible to humans. So they mixed the bird flu virus.*) with human influenza (*A: That's the common ones.*) could escape from the laboratory to cause a global pandemic killing millions of people.

Lord May of Oxford, a former government chief scientist and past president of the Royal Society, denounced the study published today in the journal *Science* as doing nothing to further the understanding and prevention of flu pandemics.

“They claim they are doing this to help develop vaccines and such like. In fact the real reason is that they are driven by blind ambition with no common sense whatsoever,” Lord May told *The Independent*. (*A: Well you know, viral labs and warfare labs have been doing this since, actually during World War II. And he knows this too. They should be testing these guys' frontal lobes, the scientists; they make an awful lucrative living and get awards galore. They should test their frontal lobes to see what's the problem with them, that make all of these killer diseases.*)

“The record of containment in labs like this is not reassuring. They are taking it upon themselves to create human-to-human transmission of very dangerous viruses,” he said.

The controversial study into viral mixing was carried out by a team led by Professor Hualan Chen, director of China's National Avian Influenza Reference Laboratory at Harbin Veterinary Research Institute.

Professor Chen and her colleagues deliberately mixed the H5N1 bird-flu virus, which is highly lethal but not easily transmitted between people, with a 2009 strain of H1N1 flu virus, which is very (*A: ...transmittable...*) infectious to humans.

When flu viruses come together by infecting the same cell they can swap

genetic material and produce “hybrids” through the re-assortment of genes. The researchers were trying to emulate what happens in nature when animals such as pigs are co-infected with two different strains of virus, Professor Chen said.

So scientists, you understand, live on grants. And every scientist who goes into these kinds of fields want to make a name for themselves; they all have this vision of their name going down in history for something. And here they are, making these lethal things, which didn't exist before, and we have no immunity to them. And it's quite simple to weaponize something that will overrun our immune systems. Very simple today, very, very simple. Nothing new in that at all. But yeah, it's only a matter of time before even something is deliberately put out, like the **12 Monkeys** scenario, the movie, or something escapes by itself, or some accident, or an earthquake, or whatever. It will happen one day.

Getting back to children again and pre-crime and all the rest of it, another article ties in with that. It's to do with:

Report shows persistence of TV violence after Sandy Hook shooting

news.com.au / DAVID BAUDER AP Television Writer / May 02, 2013

(A: In other words, things go on as normal. And people keep trying to say, well they are influenced by TV. They've had LOTS of studies over the last 50 years of how much TV children work, how many violent things they see per day on television, and they EMULATE this kind of stuff. But it says:)

VIOLENCE, gore and gunplay were staples on prime-time television even in the most sensitive period directly following the Newtown school shooting.

A study of 392 prime-time scripted programs on US broadcast networks shown during the month after US Vice President Joe Biden's January meeting with entertainment industry executives on violence in the media revealed that 193 had some incident of violence, according to the US Parents Television Council.

Some violent episodes are cartoonish - quite literally, with Homer strangling Bart for mouthing off on The Simpsons - but there is plenty of gunplay, stabbings and beat-downs.

Here's a sample of the incidents captured by the PTC between Jan. 11 and Feb. 11:

This is what children watch, and they copy. I can remember when kung fu was a big thing and they really put out all the different movies, etc., and the television series. And young children, who could hardly walk, were watching this and then going around and kicking their siblings, trying to do karate kicks on them, just *emulating* what they see. Monkey see, monkey do. It's that simple. Very old understanding, people have always understood this stuff. And those who run television understand it perfectly well, as well; they know what they're doing, to bring in the kind of society they want. Folk get all of their, ha, behavior, actually, from television, and their fashion, their sexual behavior, everything comes from it.

It has changed society completely. Their opinions are given to them by professionals, you understand, and that's how it is. Quite simple isn't it.

Digital cash is coming in from the Royal Mint of Canada.

Digital cash replacement from Royal Canadian Mint in the works

thestar.com / Carys Mills / Apr 30 2013

Secure chips have already made it into our credit and debit cards. Next up, they could replace pocket change. *(A: I know Canada is really working towards this. They don't want any transactions going on that they can't, in real time, monitor, who is buying what, from whom.)*

The Royal Canadian Mint has been pushing forward with its "MintChip" prototype, a digital cash replacement aimed at transactions under \$10, since it surfaced a year ago. The Crown corporation is factoring in developer feedback, hiring a product manager and consulting with the financial sector.

"I would look on it very much as an alternative, and hopefully a replacement, for physical cash," said David Everett, the British cryptographic expert hired years ago to work on the MintChip. "Today, people obviously use coins. They use bits of metal and bits of paper. The future is obviously going to be much more electronic." *(A: And of course, folk will all fall for it saying, well that's great, one card does it all, you know, you could buy a bag of chips. And then they'll start charging you money for it, because YOU are the profit industry; the people are the profit industry. They call it mouse traps; it's a better mouse trap. You fall for it and then you're gouged. Then you don't have any alternative once you're on it. That's where it's all going to go. It's very predictable isn't it?)*

And also, this article is to do with China, it's interesting. You see, we're supposed to keep our eyes fixed on the Middle East and what's happening to Syria and so on. They don't want anybody else interfering, as we go on, because there's a big agenda here. We've got to finish off Syria and they've still got to take out another country as well after that, Iran, and maybe some more actually. But they've already done a whole bunch of them on the list of the PNAC group, the Project for a New American Century group that was published in the 90s. Israel also published their list; it was the same group of countries to be taken out. So we can't get distracted by things that are happening elsewhere. And yet here's something that happened and there's been hardly any mention of it.

China invades India: Tensions mount as platoon of soldiers slipped across border to claim disputed territory

dailymail.co.uk / By Daily Mail Reporter / 2 May 2013

Chinese troops set up camp in Ladakh region in middle of April, it's claimed.

Slipped across the boundary into India in middle of night, India says.

A two week Chinese incursion has left India on the verge of crises, it has

today been reported. (A: *Back with more after this.*)

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix. There's a caller on the line, Dave from DC. Are you there Dave?

Dave: Hi. Good evening Alan.

Alan: Yes, go ahead.

Dave: I just wanted to remind. You were talking about... [caller breaking up] ...our young... this obstetric mafia across the world. I was looking at the Guthrie test, the little blot test where we... they have six blobs... in 1770 really... into the 20th century. I did a search on the Internet... and I looked for obstetric Guthrie cards. Now, the first article that comes up is titled, ... to DNA ... Now this was first started in ... like the American physician Guthrie. But the first article, a simple method to extracting DNA from ... parts. Professor/Doctor Scheberger from Austria. More important, that article is locked; it's not free. You've got to pay Cold Spring Harbor's firm to see it. You know ... hold. They've been collecting our blood, our babies' blood, any baby, the healthy or diseased. Now they are testing them all for HIV too.

Alan: That's right, yeah. Yep. But they're giving themselves responsibility. Because the top, the head of it, as I say, the British Psychiatric Association after World War II said, we're really pushing, not only to get into the whole idea of eugenics and deciding who should breed and who should not, to get a better future, but also to get into government as well, so they could pass judgment on different politicians as well. This is the *push* of science. This is time of science now, as they're saying, to claim their so-called proper rights and run the world smoothly, for the guys who employ them, with all the big grants, which are way above all of us. But this is what it's all come down to. They want to create, eventually, clones, obviously from scratch, but in the meantime they want to alter us all through, they're already doing it, taking genes out, putting genes in. *Your child MAY get an allergy, they MAY have this, they may or not have that*; they can't say for certain. But this is the start of it. Put fear into the public, and aim at the mother, and the mothers will go forward and say *okay*, swap the different genes in the ovum and the sperm, and then you go into in vitro, and then they get the kind of child that they want. And yet these guys at the top have no idea of the final outcome of that. And that ties right in with that movie too, [**Gattaca**] that I've mentioned many, many times. It was a science fiction movie to do with eugenics, creating a two class system; you have the ones who are more perfect and the ones who were born the old-fashioned way.

Dave: I mean ... [caller breaking up]. When you look at this article, this particular article, they have what are called ... terms, terms that are links to other parts of science. That's exactly what's ... the term ... DNA block, DNA isolation and purification. Then they also mentioned the ... the chain reaction, and molecular sequencing. But most interestingly the term is tied in with that, is silicon dioxide.

Alan: That's right.

Dave: Quite bizarre isn't it?

Alan: It is. It is bizarre. Yep. It's bizarre alright, but that's what they are doing with all this. We're only getting a fraction of even what they do know, and how many tests, how far ahead they actually are with all of this too. I bet there's a whole chain of disasters behind it all that they never ever disclose to the public. And that's a fact. But thanks for calling.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[The War You Don't See - Media Support of Wars](#)

[Jailed because You Might Commit Crime](#)

[Scotland is Test-Bed for Lifelong Surveillance](#)

[& More on Above](#)

[Immigration Strategy for the Borderless Economy](#)

[Building a Global Community to Drive Social Change](#)

[Abortion Doctor Leaves Decapitated Baby's Head in Woman's Womb](#)

[Abortion clinic video makers call for government investigation](#)

[Melbourne Doctor's Abortion Stance May Bring Punishment](#)

[Chinese Scientists Create New Strains of Transmittable Flu](#)

[Persistence of TV Violence after Sandy Hook](#)

[Digital Cash in Works from Royal Canadian Mint](#)

[China Invades India?](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando
um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

CDs Ancient Religions
and History MP3
CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Part 1 (1998) and Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

Part 2 (1998-2000)

DVDs

"Reality Check Part 1"
Esoterica and ...TIME"

&

"Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,